FILEWORAPR 24 1: 25USDCORE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

GEOF	GF.	W	N	ПП	PΗ	
CIECLE	VUL.	VV.		UL	21 I I	

Plaintiff,

Civil No. 06-115-CO

V.

ORDER

DIRECTOR OREGON DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant.

Magistrate Judge Cooney filed his Findings and Recommendation on April 4, 2006.

The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. Lorin Corp. v. Goto & Co., Ltd., 700 F.2d 1202, 1206 (9th Cir. 1982). See also Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, I adopt Judge Cooney's Findings and Recommendation.

Dated this $\frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$ day of April, 2006.

Ann Aiken, United States District Judge

Order -- Page 1